



Cost Estimation



Cost Estimation

- Hourly equipment costs can be obtained in several ways:
 - Actual cost records
 - Machine rate



Machine Rate

- The machine rate cost estimation procedure was first developed and published by D.M. Matthew in 1942.
- The method has been widely used in both the USA and Canada for 50+ years.
- Miyata (1980) reviewed the machine rate procedure and assumptions used with this method.



Machine Rate

The machine rate cost estimation procedure categorizes equipment costs into three classes:

- Fixed or ownership costs,
- Variable or operating costs, and
- Labor costs.



Fixed Costs

- Fixed costs are incurred regardless of whether or not the machine is operated.
- **Fixed costs include:**
 - Depreciation (D)
 - Interest, insurance, and taxes (IIT)
- Fixed costs
 - do not vary with the level of production,
 - are always computed on a SMH basis.



Depreciation

(Fixed Costs)

$$D (\$ / SMH) = \frac{(P - S)}{N \times (SMH / Year)}$$

Where

D = depreciation, \$/SMH

P = equipment purchase price, \$

S = salvage value, \$

N = economic life, years

SMH/Year = scheduled machine hours a year



Depreciation

(Example)

For example, if a sawhead feller-buncher:

- cost \$140,000 to purchase
- had an expected salvage value of \$35,000 (25% of P)
- after four years (2000 SMH/year) of use

The depreciation would be calculated as follows:

$$D(\$ / SMH) = \frac{(\$140,000 - \$35,000)}{4 \text{ years} \times (2000 \text{ SMH} / \text{year})} = \$13.13 / SMH$$



IIT

(Fixed Costs)

Interest, insurance, and taxes (IIT) are commonly quoted as:

- A percentage of the average value of yearly investment (AVI) of the machine over its entire life.
- AVI is computed as:

$$AVI (\$ / year) = \frac{(P - S) \times (N + 1)}{2 \times N} + S$$



IIT

(Example)

For the sawhead feller-buncher in the example, AVI is:

$$AVI (\$/year) = \frac{(\$140,000 - \$35,000) \times 5}{8} + \$35,000 = \$100,625 / year$$



Fixed Costs IIT (Example)

If **interest** was assumed to be **15%**,
insurance to be **3%**, and
taxes to be **2%** of AVI respectively,
then IIT would be computed as:

$$IIT(\$ / SMH) = \frac{(0.15 + 0.03 + 0.02) \times \$100,625 / year}{2000SMH / year} = \$10.06 / SMH$$



Fixed Costs

(Example)

The total costs for the feller-buncher will be:

$$\begin{aligned}\text{Total Fixed Costs} &= D + \text{IIT} \\ &= \$13.13/\text{SMH} + \$10.06/\text{SMH} \\ &= \$23.19/\text{SMH}\end{aligned}$$



Variable Costs

- Variable costs
 - are incurred only when the machine is operated
 - usually reported on a \$/PMH basis
- Cost items which vary with machine operation include:
 - Fuel and lubricants (F&L)
 - Maintenance and repair (M&R)



Maintenance and Repair (M&R)

- Includes items ranging from scheduled preventive maintenance to major repairs:
 - These costs are best estimated from previous experience with similar equipment in similar conditions.
 - However, such experience is often not available, especially with new equipment models.
 - **Commonly used way for estimating M&R costs is based on a percentage of depreciation cost.**



M&R

(Variable Costs)

$$M \& R (\$ / PMH) = \frac{(\% M \& R) \times (P - S)}{N \times (SMH / year) \times UT}$$

Where

P=equipment purchase price price, \$

S=salvage value, \$

N=economic life, years

SMH/Year=scheduled machine hours a year

UT=utilization rate, % of SMH

%M&R=assumed value or from records



M&R

(Variable Costs)

Alternatively, the above equation could be represented as:

$$M \& R (\$ / PMH) = \frac{(\% M \& R) \times D}{UT}$$

Where

D=depreciation, \$/SMH

UT=utilization rate, % of SMH

%M&R=assumed value or from records



M&R

(Example)

Again using our example of the sawhead feller-buncher,
Let's assume that:

- M&R costs are equivalent to 100% of *D*, and
- Utilization averages 65%.

M&R can be calculated as:

$$M\&R (\$/PMH) = \frac{(1.00) \times \$13.13 / SMH}{0.65} = \$20.20 / PMH$$



Variable Costs

tire and track

- There are two ways of handling tire and track costs in the machine rate calculation:
 - As a part of maintenance and repair
 - this is the most common method
 - the cost of the original set of tires or tracks on the machine is included with the initial purchase price of the machine
 - Separate tire and track costs from M&R



Fuel and Lubricants

Variable Costs

- Fuel and lubricants (F&L) costs depend on:
 - Consumption rate
 - Unit price
- Fuel is either gasoline or diesel.
- Lubricants include:
 - engine oil, transmission oil, hydraulic oil,
 - grease, and
 - other lubrication fluids



F&L Costs

- Consumption rates for fuel and lubricants are known for a vehicle from available records,
- Prices for fuel and lubricants are easily obtained from local petroleum product dealers or service stations.

The F&L costs are simply computed as:

$$\text{F\&L (\$/PMH)} = \text{consumption rate} \times \text{price}$$

Consumption rate = gal/PMH

Price=\$/gal



F&L Consumption Rates

Consumption rates may also be estimated using the following equations reported by Miyata (1980):

$$\text{Diesel engine (gal/PMH)} = 0.037 \times \text{HP}$$

$$\text{Gasoline engine (gal/PMH)} = 0.050 \times \text{HP}$$

$$\text{Engine oil (gal/PMH)} = (0.005 \times \text{HP}) + C/T$$

Where HP=net horsepower at maximum rated engine speed

C=crankcase capacity

T=time between oil changes (PMH)



F&L Costs

(Example)

For the sawhead feller-buncher, used in our example, assume:

- Diesel fuel is consumed 6.5 gal/PMH costing \$0.75/gal
- Lubricants are consumed at a rate of 1 gal/PMH at a cost of \$4.65/gal.

$$\begin{aligned} \text{F\&L (\$/PMH)} &= (6.5 \text{ gal/PMH}) \times (\$0.75/\text{gal}) + \\ &\quad (1.0 \text{ gal/PMH}) \times (\$4.65/\text{gal}) \\ &= \$9.53/\text{PMH} \end{aligned}$$



Variable Costs

Total variable costs are obtained by summing M&R and F&L costs. For the machine in our example:

$$\begin{aligned}\text{Total Variable Costs} &= \text{M\&R} + \text{F\&L} \\ &= \$20.20/\text{PMH} + \$ 9.53/\text{PMH} \\ &= \$29.37/\text{PMH}\end{aligned}$$



Labor Costs

- Labor costs include:
 - wages paid to employees and
 - all fringe benefits associated with the cost of labor.
- Labor costs in the machine rate are usually calculated by:
 - taking the wage rate and
 - adding a percentage for fringe benefits.



Labor Costs

- The fringe benefit percentage can be obtained using:
 - figures from payroll records or
 - roughly estimates.
- Labor costs may be computed on either a productive or scheduled machine hour basis. We usually use a SMH basis.



Labor Costs

- Many logging contractors pay their employees by:
 - day,
 - production, or
 - some combination of hourly rates with a production bonus
- These methods must be converted to either a \$/SMH or a \$/PMH.



Labor Costs

(Example)

In the example, let's give a fringe benefit rate of **40% of wage**.
If the operator is paid **\$6.50 per hour**, labor costs are then calculated as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}\text{Labor Cost (\$/SMH)} &= \$6.50/\text{SMH} \times (1 + 0.40) \\ &= \$9.10/\text{SMH}\end{aligned}$$



Total Cost

To obtain the total hourly cost of operating sawhead feller-buncher in the above example, we combine our estimates:

$$\begin{aligned}\text{Total Costs (\$/SMH)} &= \text{Fixed Cost} + \text{Variable Cost} + \text{Labor Cost} \\ &= \$23.19/\text{SMH} + \$29.73/\text{PMH} \times (0.65) + \\ &\quad \$9.10/\text{SMH} \\ &= \$51.61/\text{SMH}\end{aligned}$$



Total Cost

It can be also reported on the PMH basis.

$$\begin{aligned}\text{Total Costs (\$/PMH)} &= \text{Fixed Cost} + \text{Variable Cost} + \\ &\quad \text{Labor Cost} \\ &= (\$23.19/\text{SMH})/0.65 + \$29.73/\text{PMH} + \\ &\quad (\$9.10/\text{SMH})/0.65 \\ &= \$79.41/\text{PMH}\end{aligned}$$



Pros and Cons of Machine Rate (Advantages)

- **Widely used and understood** by nearly everyone associated with the timber harvesting industry
- **Simple to perform** and requires relatively little information
- **Useful for discussing logging equipment and systems** at meeting attended by group of competitors where violations of antitrust laws could potentially occur.



Pros and Cons of Machine Rate (Disadvantages)

- Neither income taxes nor the time value of money are included in the calculations.
- Costs for a machine are assumed to be a constant over the life of the machine.
- Costs computed with the machine rate are highly dependent on the assumed purchase price, salvage value, and economic life.



Combining Costs and Productivity

- An estimate of cost per unit volume of production.
- For the sawhead feller-buncher used in the earlier example, total hourly cost was \$51.61/SMH or \$79.41/PMH.
- Assuming that this machine could produce 37.4 cords per PMH, an estimate of cost per cord could be obtained as follows:



Combining Costs and Productivity

$$\text{Cost Per Cord} = \frac{\text{Cost per Hour}}{\text{Volume per Hour}} = \frac{\$79.41 / \text{PMH}}{37.4 \text{ cords} / \text{PMH}} = \$2.12 / \text{cord}$$



Direct Logging Costs

- So far we have only addressed the direct costs associated with logging.
 - such as the costs of felling, skidding, loading, and hauling.
- These costs are important and usually command most of a contractor's attention.
- These costs (or direct logging costs) often account for **65-85 percent of total costs.**



Indirect Logging Costs

- The remaining cost factors associated with logging are often labeled “indirect”.
- These costs can account for the remaining **15-35% of the total**.
- Lack of attention to these cost factors can also result in an operational loss.



Indirect Logging Costs

Indirect logging costs are usually caused by:

- **Moving expenses** from one tract to another.
- **Logging permits** from local counties.
- **Road and landing construction.**
- **Overhead expenses** usually including:
 - office expenses,
 - legal and accounting services,
 - bookkeeping, and communications.



Indirect Logging Costs

(Moving Expense)

- Is one of the most frequently incurred indirect costs for a logging contractor.
- Moving disrupts production in addition to incurring the actual costs of labor and fuel to move.
- Several approaches may be used to minimize such disruption, production losses, and costs:
 - Adequate pre-harvest planning
 - Placing road in proper location



Indirect Logging Costs (Moving Expenses)

- Let assume a logger spends $\frac{1}{2}$ day moving to a 65-acre tract with 23 cords per acre.
- He normally moves 10 loads per day, but loses 5 loads (9.5 cords/load) while moving.
- His current cut and haul rate is \$35/cord. Labor, equipment, and fuel costs come to \$1200 during the move.

Considering these costs, moving to this tract would cost this logger:

$$\text{Cost / cord} = \frac{5 \times 9.5 \times 35 + 1200}{65 \times 23} = \$1.91 / \text{cord}$$



Indirect Logging Costs

(Moving Expenses)

If the logger could move after-hours and not lose the 5 loads of production, his moving cost per cord for the tract could be:

$$\text{Cost / cord} = \frac{1200}{65 \times 23} = \$0.80 / \text{cord}$$

However, if the logger has to move off the tract and later return, his moving cost would at least double:

$$\text{Cost / cord} = 2 \times 1.91 = \$3.83 / \text{cord}$$



Indirect Logging Costs

(Permits, roads and landings)

- If the logger is moving to a tract, he will have to obtain permits and build road entrances.
- Assuming that the permits and road construction cost **\$3000**.

We compute the cost of permits and road as follows:

$$\text{Cost / cord} = \frac{3000}{65 \times 23} = \$2.01 / \text{cord}$$



Indirect Logging Costs (Overhead)

If a logger spends **\$650** per month on supplies,
\$350 per month on radios and phones, and
\$2000 per month on a bookkeeper and office,
then total monthly overhead expenses would be **\$3000**.
Assuming that the logger moves **50 loads per week (475 cords)**,
we could compute overhead costs per cord:

$$\text{Cost / cord} = \frac{650 + 350 + 2000}{475 \times 4} = \$1.58 / \text{cord}$$